UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		Case No. 4:14cr414		
v.		ORDER ON MOTION FO SENTENCE REDUCTION 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)	N UNDER	
MICHAEL ALPHO	NSO INGRAM, SR.	(COMPASSIONATE REL	LEASE)	
Upon motion	of the defendant the Direct	ctor of the Bureau of Prisons	for a	
reduction in sentence	under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)	, and after considering the ar	oplicable	
factors provided in 18	8 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and the application	able policy statements issued	by the	
Sentencing Commiss	ion,			
IT IS ORDERED tha	t the motion is:			
GRANTED				
The defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment of is reduced to				
. If this sentend	ee is less than the amount of time	the defendant already served	, the sentence	
is reduced to a time s	erved; or			
Time serv	ved.			
If the defenda	nt's sentence is reduced to time s	erved:		
	This order is stayed for up to for	urteen days, for the verificati	on of the	
	defendant's residence and/or est	ablishment of a release plan,	to make	
	appropriate travel arrangements	, and to ensure the defendant	's safe	
	release. The defendant shall be a	eleased as soon as a residence	ce is verified,	
	a release plan is established, app	propriate travel arrangements	are made,	

and	it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in	
ensu	ring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are	
need	led to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the	
defe	endant's safe release, the parties shall immediately notify the court and	
shov	w cause why the stay should be extended; or	
The	re being a verified residence and an appropriate release plan in place,	
this	order is stayed for up to fourteen days to make appropriate travel	
arra	ngements and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant	
shal	l be released as soon as appropriate travel arrangements are made and	
it is	safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring	
trav	el arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to	
mak	e appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe	
rele	ase, then the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause	
why	the stay should be extended.	
The defendant must provide the complete address where the defendant will reside		
upon release to the probation office in the district where they will be released because it		
was not included in the motion for sentence reduction.		
Under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), the defendant is ordered to serve a "special term"		
of probation or supervised release of months (not to exceed the unserved		
portion of the origi	nal term of imprisonment).	
The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release apply to		
the "special	term" of supervision; or	
The cor	nditions of the "special term" of supervision are as follows:	

Ine defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are unchanged.			
The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are modified as			
follows:			
DEFERRED pending supplemental briefing and/or a hearing. The court DIRECTS the			
United States Attorney to file a response on or before , along with all Bureau of Prisons			
records (medical, institutional, administrative) relevant to this motion.			
DENIED after complete review of the motion on the merits.			
FACTORS CONSIDERED (Optional)			

DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE because the defendant has not exhausted all administrative remedies as required in 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), nor have 30 days lapsed since receipt of the defendant's request by the warden of the defendant's facility.

Defendant moves the Court for compassionate release based on his medical conditions, i.e. asthma, hypertension, and history of smoking. Defendant claims that he has exhausted his administrative remedies as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). To support his claim, Defendant states "[i]n early February of 2021, [he] submitted a handwritten request/cop out for compassionate release" and that "more than thirty (30) days have elapsed" since that time "without action by the warden." Dkt. No. 37 at 14, 15. Although Defendant claims he sent such a letter to the warden, he has not provided a copy of the letter or any evidence that the warden received and/or responded to the letter. Without more evidence, the Court cannot find that

Defendant has exhausted his administrative remedies. See, e.g., United States v. Gordon, No. CR 416-082, *slip op.* at 5 (S.D. Ga. May 6, 2021).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

July <u>,</u> 2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE